

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,410	11/19/2003	Anthony Downing	920537-95124	9993
23644 BARNES & TI	7590 10/04/2007 HORNBURG LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2786	5		HAILE, FEBEN	
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/718,410	DOWNING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Feben M. Haile	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 No	ovember 2003.	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10,12-17,19,21-26 and 28 is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,9,11,18,20 and 27 is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. e rejected.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)[drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1 line 12 and page 2 line 14, the word "dialled" should be replaced with the word -dialed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8, 10, 12-17, 19, 21-26, and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants Admitted Prior Art, (see background of the invention, pages 1-3), hereinafter referred to as AAPA.

Regarding claims 1, 10, 19, and 28, AAPA discloses (i) writing a block of data to an area of a buffer as a plurality of rows (page 2 line 30-page 3 line 5; a block of CAS data is written to a first buffer in series), each row comprising a predetermined number of timeslots of data (page 1 line 34-page 2 line 4; in the E1 standard, a timeslot of a CAS block for each trunk is received every 125 µs, a CAS block equals 32 rows of data that corresponds to 32 communication channels of each trunk, thus each row contains a timeslot for a communication channel of each trunk); (ii) writing a next block of data to an area of the circular memory buffer located sequentially after the area occupied by the previous block of data as a plurality of rows (page 2 line 30-page 3 line 5; a block of CAS data is written to a second buffer in series), each row comprising a predetermined number of timeslots of data, wherein after writing each row of said next block of data (page 1 line 34-page 2 line 4; in the E1 standard, a timeslot of a CAS block for each trunk is received every 125 μs, a CAS block equals 32 rows of data that corresponds to 32 communication channels of each trunk, thus each row contains a timeslot for a communication channel of each trunk), changes in the data contained in the row are determined by comparing the row with the corresponding row in the previous block of data (page 3 lines 7-13; once a complete block of data has been written to one of the buffers. changes in the block of data are compared to a previous block of data); and (iii) repeating step (ii) a plurality of times (page 3 lines 24-25; this method is continued in a loop for as long as data is being received and monitored).

Art Unit: 2616

AAPA discloses the claimed invention except for the buffer being a circular memory. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that to use a circular type of memory is a manner of design choice. An advantage of using such a buffer being to bridge the constant sample data rate of input and output with a DSP processor, which is commonly programmed to process entire blocks of data at one time.

Regarding claims 3, 12 and 21, AAPA discloses wherein data is written to the circular memory buffer by direct memory access (DMA) (page 2 lines 30-31; the blocks of data are written to the first and second buffers by a DMA).

Regarding claims 4, 13, and 22, AAPA discloses wherein, in step (ii), after writing each row of the block of data, an interrupt is generated, and wherein changes in the data contained in the row are determined in response to the interrupt (page 3 lines 7-13; once a complete block of data has been written to one of the first or second buffers, changes in the block of data is compared to a previous block of data).

Regarding claims 5, 14, and 23, AAPA discloses wherein a row of data is written to the circular memory buffer every 125 μs (page 1 line34-page 2 line 1; In the E1 standard, a time slot of CAS data is received every 125 μs, thus its obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the data would be written into the buffer at the same rate).

Regarding claims 6, 15, and 24, AAPA discloses wherein all blocks of data are alternately written to one of two areas of the circular memory buffer (page 3 lines 1-2;

alternate blocks of data are written to each of the first and second buffers so that only one buffer is written at any one time).

Regarding claims 7, 16, and 25, AAPA discloses the claimed invention except wherein the size of each areas of the circular memory buffer is equal to the size of a block of data. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a CAS block equals 24 or 32 rows of data, depending on E1 or T1 standards, thus the size of the buffer would at least have to be large enough to write that amount of data.

Regarding claims 8, 17, and 26, AAPA discloses wherein the locations of the two areas of the circular memory buffer are consecutive (page 2 line 30-page 3 line 5; blocks of CAS data are written to first and second buffers alternately in series; thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the two buffers are successive).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 9, 11, 18, 20, and 27 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- a) Lee (US 6,928,083), CAS Data Processing Apparatus of STM-1 Interface

Application/Control Number: 10/718,410

Art Unit: 2616

b) Sproat et al. (US 6,778,503), Automated Line Signal Processing

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Feben M. Haile whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3072. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (571) 272-7629. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600